

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,956		11/20/2003	William D. McKay	RBD-115-B	RBD-115-B 9034	
22440	7590	10/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GOTTLIES		MAN & REISMAN	SPISICH	SPISICH, MARK		
8TH FLOOI		SNOE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 1	100160601		1744		
				DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/717,956	MCKAY, WILLIAM D.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark Spisich	1744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	;			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATERITY AND A TENTON ATERITY AND ATERITY A	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-74 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
·	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl ity documents have been rec ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eeived in this National Stage	e			
,							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,956

Art Unit: 1744

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (1) fig 1; (2) figs 2-5; (3) fig 6; (4) fig 7; (5) figs 8-9; (6) fig 10; (7) one of the cleaning elements of figs 11-15,19 and 20; (8) figs 16-18; (9) fig 21; (10) figs 22-24; (11) figs 25-26; (12) figs 27-28; (13) figs 29-30; (14) fig 31; (15) figs 32; (16) fig 33; (17) fig 34; (18) fig 35; (19) fig 36; (20) fig 37; (21) fig 38; (22) figs 39A,40; (23) fig 39B; (24) figs 41-42; (25) fig 43; (26) fig 44; (27) fig 45; (27) fig 46; (28) figs 47-48; (29) fig 49; (30) fig 50; (31) figs 51-52; and (32) fig 53. The species are independent or distinct because the are each different versions or embodiments of the claimed invention that are assumed to be patentably distinct, unless indicated otherwise by applicant.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1, for example, would appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/717,956

Art Unit: 1744

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/717,956 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS